PENSION FUND BUSINESS PLAN 2015/16







Introduction

The purpose of this 2015/16 Business Plan is to outline the Funds' aims and objectives, as well as providing an Action Plan of the key priorities of the year ahead to achieve these objectives.

The Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) has experienced significant change over the past few years with the approval of the 2014 Regulations which introduce the new Career Average Benefits package along with a range of other cost management and governance measures. Further change is expected during 2015 with the Government plans to consult upon more efficient investment approaches and the establishment of Local Pension Boards.

The Funds are also experiencing a number of other challenges including changes to Record keeping, auto-enrolment, changes to tax allowances and Freedom & Choice implementation. In addition, a new custodian contract has been implemented and for two of the Funds the Pension Administration provider is changing in 2015/16 to Surrey County Council. All of these changes have increased the challenges placed upon the Funds internal resources and highlighted the need to be flexible and responsive to adapt and maintain high standards of service.

Purpose & Scope of the Fund

The Tri-Borough Pension Service supports the Pension Funds of Westminster City Council, London Borough of Hammersmith & Fulham and the Royal Borough of Kensington & Chelsea. These Funds are part of 100 funds making up the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS). The LGPS is a career Average scheme, funded principally by its constituent employers and members, with assistance from investment returns. Unlike other public sector pension schemes, the LGPS is fully invested in financial markets and aims to be fully funded over the long-term.

The combined Tri-Borough Pension Funds serve 40,700 members from 110 constituent employer bodies. The combined value of assets under management at 31 March 2015 was £2.79bn and the total cost of administration in 2014/15 was £18.0M (0.64% of total assets) including £15.9M (0.57%) investment management costs.

Governance & Management of the Funds

The City of Westminster, London Borough of Hammersmith & Fulham and the Royal Borough of Kensington & Chelsea councils have combined certain parts of their operational areas to provide a more efficient service and greater resilience and this includes the Pensions Finance teams.

The combined team was formed in February 2012 and is responsible for the management of the pension fund investments across the three boroughs. The team is

based at Westminster's offices. The Pension Funds continue to be managed separately in accordance with each borough's strategy and so each continues to have sovereignty over decision making. However, officers are continually seeking to improve efficiency and resilience and to minimise the cost of running the Pension Funds, in line with the triborough working aims.

Stakeholders of the Funds'

The Funds' customers fall into three categories:

- a) Scheme members:
 - Actives (c11,500)
 - Deferred (c16,800)
 - Pensioners (c12,400)
- b) Employers of Scheme members
 - Scheduled (44)
 - Admitted (35)
 - Ceased (31)
- c) Regulatory Bodies & Stakeholders
 - LGPS Scheme Advisory Board
 - Department of Communities & Local Government (DCLG)
 - HM Revenues & Customs
 - The Department for Work & Pensions
 - Investment Managers, Actuaries & Advisers

Aims and Purpose of the Funds

The specific aims of the Funds as set out in the Funding Strategy Statements are:

- Ensure that sufficient resources are available to meet the liabilities as they fall due;
- Maximise the returns from investments within reasonable risk parameters;
- Enable employer contribution rates to be kept as nearly constant as possible and at reasonable cost to the taxpayers, scheduled and admitted bodies;
- Manage employers' liabilities effectively and in particular minimise the level of irrecoverable debt when an employer ceases to participate.

Summary of the Funds

The market value of Funds' assets, the most recent actuarial valuation assessment of the funding levels and the latest membership numbers are summarised in the table below based upon information available as at 31st March 2015:

	Value of assets	Funding Level	Total membership
Hammersmith and Fulham	£864.8m	87%	14,269
Kensington and Chelsea	£825.9m	101%	10,385
Westminster	£1,099.0m	80%	16,060

Key Policy Documents

Key policy documents which may be helpful in terms of providing additional information can be found on the Fund's individual websites:

- Annual Report & Accounts
- Triennial Valuation Report
- Funding Strategy Statement
- Statement of Investment Principles
- Communications Strategy
- Governance Compliance Statement
- Risk Register

LONDON BOROUGH OF HAMMERSMITH & FULHAM PENSION FUND

http://www.lbhf.gov.uk/Directory/Council and Democracy/Plans performance and statistics/Statement of accounts/68526 Statement of accounts.asp#0

ROYAL BOROUGH OF KENSINGTON & CHELSEA PENSION FUND

https://www.rbkc.gov.uk/council/how-council-manages-money/council-spending-and-finances/council-spending-and-finances#pension

WESTMINSTER PENSION FUND

http://www.wccpensionfund.co.uk/about-us/forms-and-publications.aspx

Resources

This section summarises the resources available to the three Funds to undertake the planned activities.

The tri-borough funds are supported by an officer team and various other advisers detailed in the table below:

	Hammersmith and Fulham	Kensington and Chelsea	Westminster
Officers	Neil Sellstrom: Interim Head Tri-borough Pensions		
	Pension Fund Officers: Alex Robertson, Nicola Webb and Nikki Parsons		
Investment adviser	Alistair Sutherland: Andrew Elliott: Alistair Suth		Alistair Sutherland:
	Deloitte	Hymans Robertson	Deloitte
Actuary	Graeme Muir: Barnett Waddingham		
Legal advisers	Eversheds LLP		
Custodian	Northern Trust		
Fund Managers:			
Equities		Baillie Gifford	
	Majedie		Majedie
	MFS	Long	gview
		Legal & General	
Absolute Return	Ruffer	Pyrford	
Fixed Income	Goldman Sachs		Insight
Private Equity	Invesco	Adams Street	
	Partners		
	Unigestion		
Property		CBRE	Hermes
	Standard Life	Kames	Standard Life
Secure Income	Partners Group		
	Oak Hill Advisers		
	M & G		

Pensions Action Plan

Since the Pensions shared service was established, there have been several important developments to improve the effectiveness of the team and deliver some of the synergies expected from a shared service offering.

These include increased collaboration and more efficient use of resources, improved levels of skills and competencies and greater resilience in delivering the services.

This plan seeks to build further on the work done to date and identified actions to be taken to put the Tri-Borough Pensions Service as a leader amongst its peers.

The actions in this plan are split into 3 key areas;

Operational improvements which will streamline existing working practices and work towards a consistent set of outputs to be delivered by the team,

Assurance improvements which highlight ways of demonstrating the Funds are being managed effectively and within the regulatory framework, and

Financial proposals which will ensure the costs of delivering the scheme administration are understood and minimised.

The objectives of the improvements were identified as follows:

- 1. Operational (doing things better):
 - a. To ensure Pension Committees and Local Pension Boards are fully supported
 - b. To better understand, manage and monitor the risks of the Funds
 - c. To support an improvement in the quality of communications with Employers and scheme members
- 2. Assurance (doing things right):
 - a. To ensure the most effective governance arrangements are in place
 - b. To improve the level of controls assurance and reporting frameworks
 - c. To ensure the Scheme is efficiently managed and complies with relevant regulations
- 3. Financial (being cost efficient):
 - a. To fully understand the Funds' current and expected financial position
 - b. To optimise the procurement of services utilised by the Funds
 - c. To minimise the cost of administering the Funds locally
 - d. To improve the quality and transparency of financial reporting

Governance

Action	Link to Objectives	Purpose	Completion Date
Establish Local Pension Boards	1c 2a 2c	To ensure the Regulatory requirements of the Public Service Pensions Act 2013 are met in terms of creating and maintaining a Local Pension Board to assist in reviewing governance and administration arrangements in line with prescribed guidance.	July 2015
Establish Risk Registers	1a 1b	Identification, monitoring and reporting of key risks in the Pension Fund operation to ensure decision makers are regularly aware of the risks involved and can establish mitigation as necessary.	September 2015
Knowledge & Skills Training programme	1a 2a 2c	To ensure Local Pension Boards/Pension Committees meet regulatory requirements to have the necessary knowledge and skills and to support individuals to achieve this requirements through training needs analysis and a bespoke training programme.	October 2015
Agree 'Pensions Administration' SLA between HR and Finance	1a 2a 2b	To clarify the internal roles and responsibilities involved in operating the Pension Fund in terms of regulatory requirements and key functions to ensure the quality and continuity of service is provided to employees, employers and other stakeholders.	September 2015
Update Contracts Register	2a 2c	Ensure all contractual arrangements are documented in one place and on-going procurement requirements are known and planned for.	August 2015

Scheme Administration

Action	Link to	Purpose	Completion
	Objectives		Date
Long Term	1a	Identify monthly cash flows for each	October
Cash Flow	3a	fund for next 3 years	2015
forecast	3d	Highlight key drivers of cash flows and major risk areas	
		 Establish monitoring and updating procedures 	
		Provide regular updates to Pension Committees	
		Agree policy of actions to address shortfalls	

Produce 3 year Budget forecasts	1a 3a 3d	 Establish budgets/forecasts for key administrative and investment related costs. Agree monitoring and review process Provide regular updates to Pension Committees 	October 2015
Admission Agreement Process	1a 1b 1c 2c	 Prepare standardised approach to the process of instigating an Admission Agreement Agree the approach with HR and Pension Committees Communicate approach internally and to all Employing Bodies Provide regular updates to Pension Committees 	December 2015
Employer Risk Monitoring	1b 1c	 Determine criteria for monitoring employer risks Establish existing position in terms of Agreements, Bonds etc. Agree process of evaluating criteria and reporting 	November 2015
Improve Fund Websites	1c 3c	 Evaluate feasibility of Fund specific websites Produce template webpage with key areas Work with IT to deliver subject to VFM including link to employee portal at Surrey Ensure awareness of website to employers and employees 	December 2015
Establish Contributions Monitoring procedure	1a 2b 3c	Establish contributions monitoring procedure for each Fund Identify 'late payments' by Employer and issue reminder Report periodically on situation to Local Pension Board and Pension Committee	October 2015
Create Key Controls Matrix	2b	Establish matrix of all financial controls Agree monitoring and reporting procedures	August 2015

Funding & Investments

Action	Link to Objectives	Purpose	Completion Date
Investment Strategy Reviews	1a 1b 2c	To ensure each Fund's investment strategy is optimal. The Funds are currently considering the appropriateness of their strategies in light of the cash flow forecasts and rebalancing policies and further work may be required depending on the expected consultation on asset pooling. Existing Manager's underperformance or new developments in the markets may trigger a further review.	September 2015 As required
Improve Fund Manager Monitoring Arrangements	1a 2a	To maximise the benefits from engagement with Fund Managers by establishing a structured meeting schedule and standard format involving Officers and Members.	September 2015
Investment Adviser Contract	1a 3b	To ensure each Fund secures the best possible advice and value for money in relation to its investment adviser arrangements.	2016
Actuarial Services Contract	2c 3b	To ensure each Fund secures the best value for money in relation to its actuarial arrangements.	September 2015
Review Fund Manager Fees	3a 3c 3d	Given the national focus on Investment Management Costs ensure fee data is accurate and comparable using appropriate benchmarking services and demonstrates good value to the Funds.	November 2015